UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,228	02/28/2007	Benjamin Cuenot	33155.38	8258
Gerald E. Helge	7590 08/26/200 et	EXAMINER		
Briggs and Mor	gan	CURS, NATHAN M		
80 South Eight Suite 2200	Street	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Minneapolis, M	IN 55402	2613		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/26/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summany		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		10/583,228		CUENOT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			NATHAN M.		2613				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ars on the co	over sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>28 Feb</i>	bruary 2007						
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 February 2007</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.								
′=		<i>7</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
		annlication							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
· —	☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>3,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.								
· ·									
•	Claim(s) <u>1,2, 4-10</u> is/are objected to		-14:						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	election requ	iirement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 February</u>	<u>2007</u> is/are:	a) accep	ted or b)⊠ objecte	d to by the Exami	ner.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the dr	rawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction	n is required	f the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) 🔲	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9-06</u> .	PTO-948)	4) 5) 6)	<b>=</b>	ate				

Art Unit: 2613

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 12. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not a single paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: All-Optical Intensity to DPSK Converter.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,228

Art Unit: 2613

### Content of Specification

(a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.

Page 3

- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development:</u> See MPEP § 310.
- (d) <u>The Names Of The Parties To A Joint Research Agreement</u>: See 37 CFR 1.71(g).
- (e) Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc:
  The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.
- (f) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
  - (1) Field of the Invention: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
  - (2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."

Application/Control Number: 10/583,228

Art Unit: 2613

(g) Brief Summary of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.

Page 4

- (h) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (i) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.
- (j) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).
- (k) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT

Art Unit: 2613

Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).

(I) <u>Sequence Listing</u>, See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.

### Claim Objections

4. Claims 1-12 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claims 1-12, the reference characters in parentheses should be removed for clarity.

Claim 1 should be changed as follows for clarity and for proper grammar: in line 2: ...modulated to the in DPSK format...; in line 4: ...a first input for inputting a first...; in line 8: ... a device adapted to modulate adapted to modulate the phase...; in line 12: ...optical signal modulated to the in DPSK format.

Claim 8 in line 6 should be changed as follows for clarity: ...modulated to the in DPSK format.

Claim 10 in lines 2-3 should be changed as follows for clarity: ...modulated to the in DPSK format...

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2613

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 3, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites "the first output of the first coupler" in lines 7-8, "the second output of the second coupler" in lines 10-11, "the first output of the second coupler" in lines 12-13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 11 recites the limitation "the optical couplers" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Further, it's not clear if the five reference characters in parentheses are supposed to limit the number of couplers.

Claim 12 recites "the optical amplifier" in line 4, "the absorbing non-linear device" in line 4, "the signal supplying the second input of the coupler" in lines 5-6, and "the signal supplying the first input of the coupler" in lines 7-8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Also regarding claim 12, the scope of  $E_0$  and  $\alpha$  are unknown.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1, 2 and 4-10 are objected to as described above but would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the above objections.

Art Unit: 2613

8. Claims 3, 11 and 12 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the above objections and rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior are discloses intensity modulation followed by DPSK modulation, but does not disclose the specific converter structure recited in claim 1, including the differential encoding module using two optical signals and the phase modulator device using the output of the differential encoding module and an additional optical signal.

#### Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
  - US Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0007216 discloses pulse carving followed by PSK modulation.
  - US Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0002676 discloses pulse shaping followed by DPSK modulation.
  - US Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0210912 discloses creating
    an intensity modulated signal using a phase modulated light source and
    an interferometer, and also discloses phase modulating the intensity
    modulated signal to achieve a DPSK signal.

Art Unit: 2613

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NATHAN M. CURS whose telephone number is (571)272-3028. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Vanderpuye can be reached on (571) 272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/NATHAN M CURS/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2613